

5.3 Orthogonality and General Fourier Series

We now consider some general properties of Fourier series.

NOTE THAT EVERYTHING HERE IS COMPLEX-VALUED!

Consider the general interval: (a, b)

Let $f(x)$, $g(x)$ be function on (a, b) (they can be complex-valued).

Define an inner-product (a.k.a. dot product) for f, g as:

$$(f, g) := \int_a^b f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

where an overline $\bar{}$ means the complex conjugate.

We say that f, g are orthogonal if $(f, g) = 0$.

Recall the operators and boundary conditions:

\mathcal{L}_D = (negative) second derivative operator with Dirichlet BCs:

$$(D) \quad \mathcal{L}_D f(x) = -f''(x), \quad f(a) = f(b) = 0$$

\mathcal{L}_N = (negative) second derivative operator with Neumann BCs:

$$(N) \quad \mathcal{L}_N f(x) = -f''(x), \quad f'(a) = f'(b) = 0$$

\mathcal{L}_P = (negative) second derivative operator with periodic BCs:

$$(P) \quad \mathcal{L}_P f(x) = -f''(x), \quad f'(a) = f'(b), \quad f(a) = f(b)$$

↪ This last BC is a new one, corresponding to the full Fourier series

Green's Second Identity: Take two functions $y_1(x), y_2(x)$ on (a, b) .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then: } (-y_1' \bar{y}_2 + y_1 \bar{y}_2')' &= -y_1'' \bar{y}_2 - \cancel{y_1' \bar{y}_2'} + \cancel{y_1' \bar{y}_2'} + y_1 \bar{y}_2'' \\ &= -y_1'' \bar{y}_2 + y_1 \bar{y}_2''. \end{aligned}$$

We can integrate and use the fundamental thm. of calculus to get:

$$(-y_1' \bar{y}_2 + y_1 \bar{y}_2') \Big|_{x=a}^b = \int_a^b (-y_1'' \bar{y}_2 + y_1 \bar{y}_2'') dx \quad \textcircled{*}$$

This is called Green's Second Identity.

Lemma: Assume that both y_1, y_2 satisfy either Dirichlet, or Neumann or periodic BCs. Then the LHS of $\textcircled{*}$ is 0.

Proof: Let's check for Dirichlet (check Neumann yourself!)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of } \textcircled{*} &= -\underbrace{y_1'(b) \bar{y}_2(b)}_0 + \underbrace{y_1(b) \bar{y}_2'(b)}_0 - \left(\underbrace{y_1'(a) \bar{y}_2(a)}_0 + \underbrace{y_1(a) \bar{y}_2'(a)}_0 \right) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let's check the periodic case:

$$\text{LHS of } \textcircled{*} = \underbrace{-y_1'(b) \bar{y}_2(b)}_{\text{I}} + \underbrace{y_1(b) \bar{y}_2'(b)}_{\text{II}} - \left(\underbrace{y_1'(a) \bar{y}_2(a)}_{\text{I}} + \underbrace{y_1(a) \bar{y}_2'(a)}_{\text{II}} \right)$$

The two terms I are equal (with opposite signs), as are the two terms II. \therefore we get 0. □

Observation: Let \mathcal{L} be one of \mathcal{L}_D , \mathcal{L}_N or \mathcal{L}_P .

Suppose that (λ, X) are an eigenvalue - eigenfunction pair:

$$\mathcal{L}X = \lambda X. \quad \text{Then:}$$

$$\mathcal{L}\bar{X} = \overline{\mathcal{L}X} = \overline{\lambda X} = \overline{\lambda} \bar{X} = \lambda^* \bar{X}$$

$\rightarrow (\lambda^*, \bar{X})$ are also an eigenvalue - eigenfunction pair.

Theorem: In all three cases ((D) , (N) , or (P))

there are no complex eigenvalues and any eigenfunction can be taken to be real-valued.

Proof: In Green's Second Identity \otimes replace y_1, y_2 with some function $X(x)$. Then

$$(-X' \bar{X} + X \bar{X}') \Big|_{x=a}^b = \int_a^b (-X'' \bar{X} + X \bar{X}'') dx$$

Now suppose that X is an eigenfunction of \mathcal{L}_D , \mathcal{L}_N or \mathcal{L}_P with eigenvalue λ .

From the lemma we know that the LHS = 0. Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^b (-X'' \bar{X} + X \bar{X}'') dx = \int_a^b (\lambda X \bar{X} - X \lambda^* \bar{X}) dx \\ &= (\lambda - \lambda^*) \int_a^b X(x) \bar{X}(x) dx = (\lambda - \lambda^*) \int_a^b |X(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

Since $|X(x)|^2 \geq 0$ and since $X(x)$ is not trivially 0, the integral $\int_a^b |X(x)|^2 dx$ is strictly positive (why?). Therefore we must have $\lambda - \lambda^* = 0$ which can only be true if $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We need to show that $X(x)$ can be taken to be real-valued. Suppose that $X(x)$ is complex-valued and write it as $X(x) = Y(x) + iZ(x)$ where Y, Z are real-valued. Then:

$$-Y''(x) - iZ''(x) = -X''(x) = \lambda X(x) = \lambda Y(x) + i\lambda Z(x)$$

Taking real and imaginary parts we have:

$$-Y''(x) = \lambda Y(x) \qquad -Z''(x) = \lambda Z(x)$$

We know that X satisfies (D) , (N) , or (P) . Y and Z will satisfy the same BCs as well (check this!).

So Y, Z are real-valued eigenfunctions satisfying the same BCs as X . Since \bar{X} has eigenvalue $\lambda^* = \lambda$ (eigenvalues are real!) we conclude that we can replace X, \bar{X} by Y, Z , observing that $\text{span}\{X, \bar{X}\} = \text{span}\{Y, Z\}$.

So we have shown that λ can be taken with eigenfunctions Y and Z (which are both real) rather than X, \bar{X} . □

Theorem: In all three cases ((D) , (N) , or (P)), any two eigenfunctions corresponding to different eigenvalues are orthogonal.

Proof: We already know that all eigenvalues are real, and that eigenfunctions can be taken to be real-valued.

Take $X_1(x)$ and $X_2(x)$ corresponding to two different eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 .

In Green's Second Identity, replace y_1, y_2 by X_1, X_2 . The LHS is 0 from the Lemma.

So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_a^b (-X_1'' X_2 + X_1 X_2'') dx \\ &= \int_a^b (\lambda_1 X_1 X_2 + X_1 (-\lambda_2 X_2)) dx \\ &= (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \int_a^b X_1 X_2 dx \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ (by assumption) this means that

$$\int_a^b X_1 X_2 dx = 0 \quad \longrightarrow \quad (X_1, X_2) = 0$$



Theorem: In all three cases ((D) , (N) , or (P)), there are no negative eigenvalues.

Proof: The starting point is Green's First Identity:

$$\int_a^b f''(x)g(x) dx = -\int_a^b f'(x)g'(x) dx + f'(x)g(x) \Big|_{x=a}^b$$

(This is just integration by parts)

Choose f, g to be a (real) eigenfunction $X(x)$ with a (real) eigenvalue λ . Then we have:

$$\text{LHS} = \int_a^b X'' X dx = \int_a^b -\lambda X(x) X(x) dx = -\lambda \int_a^b X^2 dx$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{RHS} &= -\int_a^b X' X' dx + X' X \Big|_{x=a}^b \\ &= -\int_a^b (X')^2 dx + \underbrace{X'(b)X(b) - X'(a)X(a)}_{= 0 \text{ for } (D), (N), (P)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda \int_a^b X^2 dx = \int_a^b (X')^2 dx$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\int_a^b (X'(x))^2 dx}{\int_a^b (X(x))^2 dx} \geq 0$$

(In fact, we get $\lambda = 0$ iff $X(x) = \text{const} \neq 0$ which is only possible for $(N), (P)$, but not (D))



Theorem: In all three cases ((D), (N), or (P)), there are infinitely many eigenvalues tending to $+\infty$:

$$0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \dots \longrightarrow +\infty$$

Corresponding to λ_n is an eigenfunction $X_n(x)$ which can be chosen to be real, and orthogonal to all other eigenfunctions.

We take this theorem without proof.